

A Proof of Moll's Minimum Conjecture

William Y. C. Chen¹ and Ernest X. W. Xia²

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC

Nankai University

Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Email: ¹chen@nankai.edu.cn, ²xxw@cfc.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. Let $d_i(m)$ denote the coefficients of the Boros-Moll polynomials. Moll's minimum conjecture states that the sequence $\{i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i-1}(m)d_{i+1}(m))\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ attains its minimum with $i = m$. This conjecture is a stronger than the log-concavity conjecture proved by Kausers and Paule. We give a proof of Moll's conjecture by utilizing the spiral property of the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$, and the log-concavity of the sequence $\{i!d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$.

Keywords: ratio monotonicity, log-concavity, Boros-Moll polynomials.

AMS Subject Classification: 05A20; 11B83; 33F99

1 Introduction

The objective of this note is to give a proof of Moll's conjecture on the minimum value of a sequence involving the coefficients of the Boros-Moll polynomials which arise in the evaluation of the following quartic integral, see, [1–6, 11]. It has been shown that for any $a > -1$ and any nonnegative integer m ,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x^4 + 2ax^2 + 1)^{m+1}} dx = \frac{\pi}{2^{m+3/2}(a+1)^{m+1/2}} P_m(a),$$

where

$$P_m(a) = 2^{-2m} \sum_k 2^k \binom{2m-2k}{m-k} \binom{m+k}{k} (a+1)^k. \quad (1.1)$$

Write $P_m(a)$ as

$$P_m(a) = \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(m) a^i. \quad (1.2)$$

The polynomials $P_m(a)$ are called the Boros-Moll polynomials. By (1.2), $d_i(m)$ can be expressed as

$$d_i(m) = 2^{-2m} \sum_{k=i}^m 2^k \binom{2m-2k}{m-k} \binom{m+k}{k} \binom{k}{i}. \quad (1.3)$$

From the above formula (1.3) one sees that the coefficients $d_i(m)$ are positive. Boros and Moll [3, 4] have proved that for $m \geq 2$ the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is unimodal and the maximum entry appears in the middle, that is,

$$d_0(m) < d_1(m) < \cdots < d_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1}(m) < d_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(m) > d_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1}(m) > \cdots > d_m(m).$$

Moll [11] conjectured that the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is log-concave for $m \geq 2$. Kauers and Paule [9] have proved this conjecture by using a computer algebra approach. Chen and Xia [8] have shown that the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ satisfies the strongly ratio monotone property which implies the log-concavity and the spiral property. Chen and Gu [7] have proved that the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ satisfies the reverse ultra log-concavity. They have also proved that the sequence $\{i!d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is log-concave.

In fact, Moll [10, 12] proposed a stronger conjecture than the log-concavity conjecture. He formulated his conjecture in terms of the numbers $b_i(m)$ as defined by

$$b_i(m) = \sum_{k=i}^m 2^k \binom{2m-2k}{m-k} \binom{m+k}{k} \binom{k}{i}. \quad (1.4)$$

Clearly, $b_i(m) = 2^{2m}d_i(m)$ and the log-concavity of $d_i(m)$ is equivalent to that of $b_i(m)$.

Conjecture 1.1. *Given $m \geq 2$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$,*

$$(m+i)(m+1-i)b_{i-1}^2(m) + i(i+1)b_i^2(m) - i(2m+1)b_{i-1}(m)b_i(m),$$

attains its minimum at $i = m$ with $2^{2m}m(m+1)\binom{2m}{m}^2$.

We will give a proof of the above conjecture by using the spiral property of $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ and the log-concavity of $\{i!d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$.

2 Proof of Moll's Minimum Conjecture

As pointed out by Moll [12], his conjecture implies that $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is log-concave for $m \geq 2$. To see this, we may employ a recurrence relation to reformulate his conjecture by using the three terms $d_{i-1}(m)$, $d_i(m)$ and $d_{i+1}(m)$. Recall that Kauers and Paule [9] and Moll [12] have independently derived the following recurrence relation for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$i(i-1)d_i(m) = (i-1)(2m+1)d_{i-1}(m) - (m+2-i)(m+i-1)d_{i-2}(m). \quad (2.1)$$

Note that we have adopted the convention that $d_i(m) = 0$ for $i < 0$ or $i > m$. From (2.1) and the relation $d_i(m) = 2^{-2m}b_i(m)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (m+i)(m+1-i)b_{i-1}^2(m) + i(i+1)b_i^2(m) - i(2m+1)b_{i-1}(m)b_i(m) \\ = i(i+1)(b_i^2(m) - b_{i+1}(m)b_{i-1}(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Moll's conjecture can be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Given $m \geq 2$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m))$ attains its minimum at $i = m$ with $2^{-2m}m(m+1)\binom{2m}{m}^2$.*

Chen and Xia [8] have shown that the Boros-Moll polynomials satisfy the ratio monotone property which implies the log-concavity and the spiral property.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. The sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is strictly ratio monotone, that is,*

$$\frac{d_m(m)}{d_0(m)} < \frac{d_{m-1}(m)}{d_1(m)} < \dots < \frac{d_{m-i}(m)}{d_i(m)} < \frac{d_{m-i-1}(m)}{d_{i+1}(m)} < \dots < \frac{d_{m-\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor}(m)}{d_{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor}(m)} < 1,$$

$$\frac{d_0(m)}{d_{m-1}(m)} < \frac{d_1(m)}{d_{m-2}(m)} < \dots < \frac{d_{i-1}(m)}{d_{m-i}(m)} < \frac{d_i(m)}{d_{m-i-1}(m)} < \dots < \frac{d_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1}(m)}{d_{m-\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(m)} < 1.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, the spiral property of $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ can be stated as follows.

Corollary 2.3. (Chen and Xia [8]) *For $m \geq 2$, the sequence $\{d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is spiral, that is,*

$$d_m(m) < d_0(m) < d_{m-1}(m) < d_1(m) < d_{m-2}(m) < \dots < d_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(m). \quad (2.2)$$

Chen and Gu [7] have shown that $\{i!d_i(m)\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is log-concave. This property can be recast in the following form.

Theorem 2.4. *For $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,*

$$id_i^2(m) > (i+1)d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m). \quad (2.3)$$

We are now ready to present a proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. First, it follows from (1.3) that

$$m(m+1)d_m^2(m) = 2^{-2m}m(m+1)\binom{2m}{m}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

We now proceed to show that for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m)) > m(m+1)d_m^2(m). \quad (2.5)$$

We first consider the case $1 \leq i \leq m-2$. By (2.3), we find that

$$i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m)) > i(i+1)d_i^2(m) - i^2d_i^2(m) = id_i^2(m). \quad (2.6)$$

Using the spiral property (2.2), we see that for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$,

$$id_i^2(m) \geq d_1^2(m) > d_{m-1}^2(m). \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m)) > d_{m-1}^2(m). \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, by direct computation we may deduce from (1.3) that

$$d_{m-1}(m) = \frac{2m+1}{2}d_m(m). \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.8) and (2.9), we have for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m)) \\ & > \left(\frac{2m+1}{2}\right)^2 d_m^2(m) > m(m+1)d_m^2(m), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and hence (2.5) is true for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$. It remains to consider the case $i = m-1$. Again, by (1.3) we find that

$$d_{m-1}(m) = 2^{-m-1}(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$d_{m-2}(m) = 2^{-m-2}\frac{(m-1)(4m^2+2m+1)}{2m-1}\binom{2m}{m}. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.4), (2.11) and (2.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & m(m-1)(d_{m-1}^2(m) - d_m(m)d_{m-2}(m)) \\ & = m(m-1)2^{-2m}\binom{2m}{m}^2 \left(\frac{(2m+1)^2}{4} - \frac{(m-1)(4m^2+2m+1)}{4(2m-1)} \right) \\ & = \frac{m(4m^2+6m-1)}{4(2m-1)}m(m-1)2^{-2m}\binom{2m}{m}^2 \\ & > m(m+1)2^{-2m}\binom{2m}{m}^2 = m(m+1)d_m^2(m). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Thus (2.5) holds for $i = m-1$, and so it holds for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

To conclude, we propose the following ratio monotonicity conjecture. If it were true, it would imply that the sequence $\{i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m))\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is both spiral and log-concave for $m \geq 2$.

Conjecture 2.5. *The sequence $\{i(i+1)(d_i^2(m) - d_{i+1}(m)d_{i-1}(m))\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is strongly ratio monotone.*

For example, for $m = 8$, we have

$$P_8(a) = \frac{4023459}{32768} + \frac{3283533}{4096}a + \frac{9804465}{4096}a^2 + \frac{8625375}{2048}a^3 + \frac{9695565}{2048}a^4 \\ + \frac{1772199}{512}a^5 + \frac{819819}{512}a^6 + \frac{109395}{256}a^7 + \frac{6435}{128}a^8.$$

Let $c_i = i(i+1)(d_i^2(8) - d_{i+1}(8)d_{i-1}(8))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$. One can verify that

$$\frac{c_8}{c_1} < \frac{c_7}{c_2} < \frac{c_6}{c_3} < \frac{c_5}{c_4} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c_1}{c_7} < \frac{c_2}{c_6} < \frac{c_3}{c_5} < 1.$$

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Science and Technology, and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] J. Alvarez, M. Amadis, G. Boros, D. Karp, V.H. Moll and L. Rosales, An extension of a criterion for unimodality, *Electron. J. Combin.* 8(1) (2001) R30.
- [2] T. Amdeberhan and V.H. Moll, A formula for a quartic integral: a survey of old proofs and some new ones, *Ramanujan J.* to appear.
- [3] G. Boros and V.H. Moll, A sequence of unimodal polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 237 (1999) 272–285.
- [4] G. Boros and V.H. Moll, A criterion for unimodality, *Electron. J. Combin.* 6 (1999) # R3.
- [5] G. Boros and V.H. Moll, The double square root, Jacobi polynomials and Ramanujan’s Master Theorem, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 130 (2001) 337–344.
- [6] G. Boros and V.H. Moll, *Irresistible Integrals*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [7] W.Y.C. Chen and C.C.Y. Gu, The reverse ultra log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, submitted, arXiv:math.CO/0809.0127.
- [8] W.Y.C. Chen and E.X.W. Xia, The ratio monotonicity of Boros-Moll polynomials, *Math. Comput.*, to appear.

- [9] M. Kausers and P. Paule, A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(12) (2007) 3847–3856.
- [10] D.V. Manna and V.H. Moll, A remarkable sequence of integers, arXiv: 0812.3374.
- [11] V.H. Moll, The evaluation of integrals: A personal story, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 49(3) (2002) 311–317.
- [12] V.H. Moll, Combinatorial sequences arising from a rational integral, Online J. Anal. Combin. 2 (2007), # 4.